UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 'Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,188	10/06/2005	Clinton W. Pike SR.	011645-1030	8428
24504 7590 01/03/2008 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			EXAMINER	
			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO	
	STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,	2837	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/552,188	PIKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edgardo San Martin	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctober 2005.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		xaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	• •	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : : - :	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/05</u> .	6) Other:	πετι Αμμισατίστι
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelin et al. (6,758,305) in view of Okuda et al. (US 5,858,521).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Gelin et al. teach a sound absorbing wall system (Fig.1), comprising a wallboard material (Fig.1, Item 111); and a soundproofing material (Fig.1, Item 109) attached to the wallboard material, but fail to disclose the soundproofing material being adhere to the wallboard.

On the other hand, Okuda et al. teach soundproofing material (Fig.1) being able to be adhered to a surface (Col.2, Lines 40 - 59, Col.4, Lines 28 - 30 and 49 - 60, and Col.5, Lines 42 - 57).

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Okuda et al. soundproofing material with the Gelin et al. sound absorbing wall system because the Okuda et al. material could be adhered to the wallboard creating a single wallboard element, facilitating and simplifying the sound absorbing wall system assembly.

With respect to claims 2-5, 11-14, Okuda et al. teach wherein the soundproofing material is a laminate comprises a polyvinyl chloride composition (Fig.1, Item 3; Col.4, Lines 28-30 and 49-60); and wherein the adhesive (Fig.1, Item 4) comprises a material chosen from at least one of a polyurethane, a silicone or an acrylic polymer (Col.1, Line 49-Col.2, Line 23 and Col.5, Lines 42-57).

Page 3

With respect to claims 6, 10 and 15 - 17, Gelin et al. teach wherein the wall board material with the soundproofing material adhered thereto is affixed to the studs, with the soundproofing material abutting the studs (Fig.1; Col.3, Lines 53 - 63).

With respect to claims 7 and 8, Gelin et al. teach the limitations described in the claims (Col.4, Lines 36 – 53).

Conclusion

2. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext.37. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/552,188

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2837

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

/Edgardo San Martin/

Page 4

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837 Class 181 December 27, 2007